Pages 1 - 18

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Before The Honorable William H. Alsup, Judge

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

VS. NO. CR 16-00440 WHA

YEVGENIY ALEKSANDROVICH

NIKULIN,

Defendant.

San Francisco, California Monday, March 16, 2020

TRANSCRIPT OF TELEPHONIC PROCEEDINGS

APPEARANCES VIA TELEPHONE:

For Plaintiff:

DAVID L. ANDERSON United States Attorney 450 Golden Gate Avenue

San Francisco, California 94102

BY: KATHERINE L. WAWRZNIAK

MICHELLE J. KANE

ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEYS

For Defendant:

LAW OFFICE OF ADAM G. GASNER

345 Franklin Street

San Francisco, California 94102

BY: ADAM GASNER
VALERY NECHAY

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

Also Present: Special Agent Jeffrey Miller

Reported By: Marla F. Knox, RPR, CRR, RMR

United States Official Court Reporter

Monday - March 16, 2020 1 3:02 p.m. 2 PROCEEDINGS ---000---3 THE CLERK: On the phone with us Katherine Wawrzyniak 4 5 and Michelle Kane and Adam Gasner and Valery Nechay. 6 MS. WAWRZYNIAK: And we have with us Special Agent Jeffrey Miller with us. 7 THE CLERK: Okay. Thank you. We are just waiting for 8 the Judge. 9 10 MS. WAWRZYNIAK: Okay. Thank you. 11 MR. GASNER: Okay. (Pause in the proceedings.) 12 13 THE CLERK: Hi, Judge, we have everyone on the line and court reporter Marla Knox is on the line as well. 14 15 THE COURT: Okay. By the way, did we have a court 16 reporter this morning? 17 THE CLERK: We did not. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Well, so, Counsel, 18 19 let's make our appearances. 20 MS. WAWRZYNIAK: Good afternoon, Your Honor, Katherine Wawrzyniak and Michelle Kane from the U.S. Attorney's Office 21 for the United States, and we have with us here FBI Special 22 Agent Jeffrey Miller. 23 THE COURT: Great. Welcome to you. 24 25 MR. GASNER: Good afternoon, Your Honor, Valery Nechay

and Adam Gasner here on behalf of Mr. Nikulin. 1 Welcome to you. All right. 2 THE COURT: Defendant is not present, N-O-T, not present. 3 MR. GASNER: Correct. 4 5 THE COURT: Anyone else? (No response.) 6 My law clerk should be on the line. 7 THE COURT: she on the line? 8 Hi, Judge, I'm here. 9 THE CLERK: THE COURT: That's good, my law clerk and then 10 Okay. 11 my court deputy clerk and then the court reporter. I think that's it. 12 13 So let's update -- since we talked last, the six Bay Area counties have ordered a shelter-in-place, and we have been 14 15 getting calls from jurors asking how this affects the trial. 16 And we have postponed telling them. We said we will tell you by 6:00 p.m. what the answer is. So we have not made a 17 18 final decision on that, but I want you to know that that, of 19 course, has happened since our early call this morning. So let's start with the Government, and you update us 20 on -- well, let's start with the Defense because --21 22 **MR. GASNER:** Okay. -- the Defense is the one that I want to 23 THE COURT: hear from the most. And particularly whether or not you are 24 25 asking for a mistrial or a continuance.

MR. GASNER: Thank you, Your Honor.

And I have actually filed a brief consistent with what I'm about to tell the Court that you or your courtroom deputy can pull up right now. The Government just got it.

It is requesting a continuance in light of the new developments including Agent LaTulip being in contact with someone recently from Europe who then got sick. I think that is real important piece of information, and evidence that Agent LaTulip can be an asymptomatic carrier. That is a concern of the Defense.

Also since then six Bay Areas have requested that there be a shelter-in-place which affects everybody, and then since then the White House has come out and said that groups nationally, groups of ten or more, should be avoided.

So given all of that, I feel responsible to ask for a continuance in the case until a reasonable period to re-evaluate moving forward. I'm suggesting 30 days, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Well, all right. But, you know, a 30-day continuance would wreak havoc on the jury that we have. What do you say to that problem?

MR. GASNER: It may do that, Your Honor. We have had long trials before where jurors have been made available for long periods of time. The MS-13 case in your courtroom is an example of that. I think everyone has to be home anyway and

doing shelter-in-place.

And when they come back, maybe we can re-evaluate, two weeks' time, on their availability. But I think it -- it's unusual, but I think we have four alternates; and we could keep this jury in tact. They seem like a diligent group.

MS. WAWRZYNIAK: Well, Your Honor, we just got the Defense's filing, and we were in the process of reviewing it. We were provided today some briefing that has been filed in the Central District of California that outlines some of the case law with respect to an unusual situation like this where the Court has to consider mid-trial whether there should be a continuance or potentially a mistrial.

And what I would propose with the Court's indulgence is that we file something -- we could probably do it by 5:30, within about an hour, just giving this -- giving the Court the case law that I think would help it make the decision.

And I think to make a very clean record on this before the Court either does a continuance or a mistrial, we would probably need to bring the Defendant in and have him be present for that decision.

At a practical sort of factual level, we obviously understand that the situation has changed significantly again since we spoke this morning.

Regarding Special Agent LaTulip specifically, the prisoner

PROCEEDINGS

that he met with last week has now been tested for coronavirus.

We are awaiting the results of that testing. We are informed
that the results should be available tomorrow.

We have instructed Special Agent LaTulip not to come to the federal building. We are just keeping in contact with him by phone at this point.

THE COURT: All right. This is quite a problem we have on our hands.

(Pause in proceedings.)

MR. GASNER: Your Honor, at a very minimum, I think I recommend certainly a short continuance to evaluate with the jurors what their availability is and what their intentions are with regard to sheltering in place.

I mean, we don't need to necessarily make a decision in the moment that there shouldn't be court for the next two weeks or longer; but I think for the near term we should hear also from the jurors about their concerns.

(Pause in proceedings.)

THE COURT: Yeah, maybe. I -- see, part of the problem is will that be under -- if they do it by telephone, will that be under oath or should that be done in open court?

All right. Here is what I want to do for now: I want to do a limited grant of this motion for two days. I'm not -- maybe we would grant a continuance for much longer, but I want

to call the jury off for tomorrow and for Wednesday and tell

them to check the -- tell me, Theresa, is it a voicemail? How do we communicate to the jurors?

THE CLERK: So the Jury Office will send them an outgoing phone call, e-mail and text message if they opted to receive one. So they will receive the communications from us through all those methods.

In addition to that, they have -- the Jury Office has a line where the jurors can call for instructions, and that inbound call will be updated as well.

THE COURT: And so when they call for instructions, there will be a statement from us telling them what to do? Is that what you mean?

THE CLERK: Correct.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. So what I want to do is for today tell the jurors not to come tomorrow and not to come on Wednesday. And that this is -- that this will be in light of the shelter-in-place -- what is the word I'm looking for --

MR. GASNER: Directive.

THE COURT: Directive, yes. Shelter-in-place directive by the six Bay Area counties and not to mention others but the -- while we evaluate what needs to be done with respect to the trial.

And that they -- that the jurors should continue to honor their obligations not to discuss the case and not to -- not to do any research about the case and to check with the

```
instructions left for them on Wednesday night as to whether or
 1
 2
    not to report Thursday.
          Now, that will give us some time -- that's what we should
 3
     tell the jurors. Theresa, did you get that down?
 4
 5
              THE CLERK:
                         Yes, I will verify with you after too.
              THE COURT: All right. Secondly, I think we need to
 6
     find out the test results from the witness -- Secret Service
 7
     witness, which you say will be available tomorrow.
 8
              MS. WAWRZYNIAK: Well, the test results, to be clear,
 9
     are for the prisoner that he met with. So if that prisoner --
10
11
              THE COURT: I'm sorry. I misspoke.
              MS. WAWRZYNIAK: -- tests positive, then the Agent --
12
13
              THE COURT: That's what I meant; right. That's what I
14
     meant to say.
15
              MS. WAWRZYNIAK:
                               Yep.
16
              THE COURT: And then the -- by the way, what country
17
     did the prisoner come from?
              MS. WAWRZYNIAK: He had recently been in prison in
18
     Germany, and then he was transferred to a jail in
19
20
     Washington D.C.
              THE COURT: Okay. All right. Then another problem is
21
     that you -- the U.S. Attorney's Office reported to Theresa and
22
23
     she reported to me that no prisoner at the San Mateo Jail has
     exhibited symptoms of coronavirus, which is encouraging.
```

24

25

is good.

I asked Theresa to ask the U.S. Attorney's Office do they even test or not, and the answer came back that the jail has no tests. So -
MS. WAWRZYNIAK: Yes.

THE COURT: Nobody is being tested. Well, that's -that is discouraging. So I don't know exactly what to make of
that. I think we ought to think about that problem for a
while.

Here is something else you can find out -- the U.S.

Attorney's Office can find out for me. The six Bay Area

counties that say that essential services should continue, and

do -- but as I read it, they don't address one way or the other

whether or not a jury trial in a criminal case is an essential

service.

So we ought to get the -- some guidance from the -- at least from the City and County of San Francisco. But also we got jurors from all over including Santa Clara County.

So maybe you can make some inquiries on that and advise us what your -- what you are finding out from -- what their view of that is.

MS. WAWRZYNIAK: Yes, we will do that, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Yeah, all right. Thank you.

I must be leaving something out. The lawyers here can help me. What else can we be looking into in the next 48 hours?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Does the Court wish to receive that MS. WAWRZYNIAK: briefing that I was talking about earlier that lays out --**THE COURT:** Yes, that will be a very useful briefing; and I would like to know what the scope of my authority is. don't limit it to cases that help you. I want to know what the limit --This is --MS. WAWRZYNIAK: No. THE COURT: My authority --MS. WAWRZYNIAK: We understand. The brief that was filed in Los Angeles was more a neutral piece as opposed to an advocacy piece that just sort of lays out what the Judge has discretion to do once the jury has been impaneled. THE COURT: Yes. MS. WAWRZYNIAK: The short answer is that Your Honor does have discretion. We just need to make an appropriate record. (Pause in proceedings.) THE COURT: Well, I am not sure we should ask yet about availability to continue past next week and -- but let's consider that. It would not be under oath, the responses that would come back on the voicemail. We might get hit or miss. We might get five to answer. We might get ten to answer out of

I don't know. I'm doubtful that we would get very useful information there. If they were in court and then I asked that

the sixteen, and then it may not be clear. It may be iffy.

```
question, then I would quiz them until I got a clear-cut answer; but if we just asked it in the -- and they send in their responses, I -- I don't know.
```

Mr. Gasner, how would you -- you were the one that wanted to ask the jury --

MR. GASNER: Right.

THE COURT: -- about their availability in, say, two months.

MR. GASNER: So maybe not that far out, but I certainly think that it would require -- it would probably require -- first of all, I think the Court could communicate with them maybe even in an e-mail to all of them asking -- telling the Court -- you are going to have to communicate with them anyway, I believe, to tell them you are not coming in tomorrow or the next day.

In the same e-mail you should inquire as to hardship related to this situation that they might want to disclose to you. Doesn't mean you are going to rule on it at that time, but some jurors may be okay with coming in and some might express hardship.

And then at some point I think either telephonically under oath or on a video conference or maybe even bring them all to court, the group is going to have to be addressed one way or another. You know, maybe that is on Friday or maybe that is a period a little farther out.

For right now, I think we should notify them that they can't be -- they won't be in session tomorrow or the next day, and say further communications about Friday. And please let the Court know if there is any hardship that is being presented with that travel right now and to explain themselves. And then you can rule on that hardship on Friday.

(Pause in proceedings.)

THE COURT: I'm just thinking.

MR. GASNER: Yeah, me too.

(Pause in proceedings.)

MS. NECHAY: This is Valery Nechay on behalf of Mr. Nikulin. One of the things that is somewhat reassuring is in terms of hardship, unless someone gets directly sick or is caring for someone who is directly ill, they don't have any foreseeable vacation plans.

Certainly all of their employers have been directed by the Government to provide sick paid leave. And so there are more than an unusual amount of circumstances that we should not anticipate as being hardships down the road. So that's just one thing to consider.

THE COURT: Well, what if someone were to say: Judge, I don't want to serve anymore because everyone including the President, the Governor and the Mayor is saying that it is too great a risk of coronavirus; and I don't want to be in a room with all those people?

Is that a legitimate excuse to be -- to be excused? I'm not saying it is or isn't. But we have -- it is very likely that someone would say that.

MR. GASNER: Yes. And, first of all, they have to say it, first of all. Maybe people are scared or are not as scared. But if they did, you could grant it or deny it on a case-by-case basis after some inquiry. And that's why we picked extra alternates in any event.

If everybody comes in and says it, then maybe we should all be feeling the same way. We don't know it is going to be everybody right now.

THE COURT: Well, we would only need -- we just need five to say it, and we wouldn't have a jury anymore.

MR. GASNER: Well, that's possible. That is possible.

MS. NECHAY: And I don't think it has been raised yet -- although it goes without saying -- I think we ought to make a record of it on behalf of Mr. Gasner and myself, we don't take this request lightly. We know that our client, Mr. Nikulin, has been in pretrial detention for a significant period of time. So it is a very difficult request to make, but notwithstanding that --

THE COURT: I share that. I share that sentiment. I don't like the idea of somebody being in custody longer than they need to be until -- the question is do they need to be.

And, okay, here is what -- let's -- Theresa, write this down.

1 THE CLERK: All right. THE COURT: Ask each juror to respond to you 2 concerning any hardship they would have in continuing to serve 3 on the jury and in continuing to serve on the jury if the trial 4 5 went past the end of next week, not this week, but the end of this week, because I think that's what we are talking about 6 7 now. And then to give you that information. THE CLERK: Okay. 8 THE COURT: And then say the Court is not yet in a 9 position to grant any such hardship -- what is the word --10 11 discharge -- but the Court is inquiring about their ability to serve to continue to serve. You can -- does anyone have any 12 13 objection to what I just said? MS. WAWRZYNIAK: No, Your Honor. 14 MR. GASNER: No, Your Honor. 15 16 THE COURT: All right. How about the Government? 17 MS. WAWRZYNIAK: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Ask them to respond, Theresa, by let's say 18 4:00 o'clock tomorrow afternoon. 19 20 THE CLERK: Okay. THE COURT: And -- all right. 21

MS. NECHAY: Your Honor, if I may, this is Ms. Nechay on behalf of Mr. Nikulin. One suggestion I might have when we make this inquiry of the juror is to provide them with a little bit more certainty about what our request is.

22

23

24

25

For example, if our request is to gauge whether they have a hardship -- you know, if we were hypothetically to allow them to self isolate for the next two weeks, and then is the question we would want them to let us know if they have a hardship serving for two weeks after that or whether our question is whether they just have any hardship moving forward at all?

I suppose I was just hoping that we can give them a little bit of clarity about exactly -- or define some parameters.

(Pause in proceedings.)

THE COURT: All right. Theresa, don't change anything
I have already said but add this sentence say: In addition,
please advise Theresa whether you would still be able to serve
as a juror in the event that the trial were suspended for -what did you say, Ms. Nechay? Two weeks?

MS. NECHAY: Sure.

MS. WAWRZYNIAK: Your Honor, I think it would be for the duration of the shelter-in-place order, which is currently in place through April 7.

THE COURT: All right. That's a fair point. Through
April 7th. So, in other words, if the duration of the
shelter-in-place directive (April 7), and whether they would -I have forgotten how I worded it -- would still be able to
serve if the trial were suspended during the duration of the
shelter-in-place directive (which ends on April 7) and with the

```
trial to resume thereafter.
 1
          Let's see what they answer to that is.
 2
              MS. NECHAY: Thank you, Your Honor.
 3
                           Thank you, Your Honor, that sounds right
              MR. GASNER:
 4
 5
     to the Defense.
                          Theresa, did you get that?
 6
              THE COURT:
                          Yes, I did.
 7
              THE CLERK:
              THE COURT:
                          Okay. All right. So we will -- that
 8
     should be not only on an e-mail, but that it should also be on
 9
10
     the telephone recording. I want that message to go out in the
11
     maximum number of ways that we can reach the jurors.
              THE CLERK: Yes, I will write this up and after this
12
     call, send it over to the Jury Office. They are on standby
13
     right now. And then I will give them this entire message, and
14
15
     they will put it through each avenue of communication they
16
    have.
17
              THE COURT:
                          Great. Now, are you going to send it to
18
    me first or not?
              THE CLERK: Yeah, I will send it to you for a proof.
19
20
     And then once you approve it, I will give it to the Jury
21
    Office.
22
              THE COURT: All right. So we will then need the group
23
     of people on the phone and the court reporter will need to
     reassemble maybe even tomorrow but certainly we will need to
24
25
     regroup on Wednesday, maybe in the morning, maybe in the
```

afternoon to determine what our next step is.

MR. GASNER: That's fine, Your Honor. The Defense is available any time it works with the Court.

MS. KANE: Your Honor, will the Court be putting out an order today on the docket or is that going to wait until tomorrow's proceeding?

THE COURT: Yeah, that's a good point. Jolie, you should do an order that says on account of the coronavirus and shelter-in-place directives by the six Bay Area counties, not to mention others, the trial will be suspended for 48 hours to resume on Thursday at 7:30 a.m., subject to further continuances.

THE CLERK: Okay. Sounds good.

MS. WAWRZYNIAK: Your Honor, if I may, a few minor suggestions. This is Katherine Wawrzyniak.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MS. WAWRZYNIAK: Number one, I think the order should memorialize that the parties were consulted before this order was entered and both had an opportunity to comment on the Court's proposed continuance.

THE COURT: Right.

MS. WAWRZYNIAK: And, secondly, I think the order should note that the Court is finding that there is good cause for the continuance. I think it should specifically use that term; that the Court is making a finding of good cause based on

```
the pandemic.
 1
              THE COURT: All right. I will do that. Everyone
 2
     agree with that?
 3
              MR. GASNER: No objection.
 4
 5
              MS. WAWRZYNIAK:
                              Thank you, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: We will do that. Now, roll those two
 6
 7
    points into the order.
                         Will do.
 8
              LAW CLERK:
              THE COURT: All right. I don't think I have ever
 9
     lived through a situation like this. I'm 74 years old. I have
10
11
     seen a lot, but I have never had this problem, anything close
     to it.
12
          Okay. My friends, unless there is more business, we are
13
     going to adjourn and get busy on this follow-up.
14
15
              MR. GASNER: Thank you, Your Honor. We will -- thank
16
     you very much.
                     That's all.
17
              MS. WAWRZYNIAK: Thank you.
18
                   (Proceedings adjourned at 3:30 p.m.)
19
                                ---000---
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER We certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter. Sunday, December 13, 2020 DATE: Marla Krox Marla F. Knox, RPR, CRR U.S. Court Reporter